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We have interrelated morale, recruitment, and retention problems
Relative to other higher education institutions, faculty morale is below the median

“How satisfied are you with the University of Oregon as a place to work?”

Source: IDEAL Climate Survey, Gallup
Thoughts about leaving are common

“I rarely think seriously about leaving UO to work somewhere else.”

Source: IDEAL Climate Survey, Gallup
UO has been losing faculty even as undergraduate enrollment has increased

Source: UO Institutional Research
Our searches have been failing at an increasing rate post-COVID

Source: UO Institutional Hiring Plans
There are many potential causes for this situation, but we cannot ignore our low salaries.
For example: UO salaries are at the bottom of the Big 10

Notes: Data from American Association of University Professors Faculty Compensation Survey for 2022-2023.
The market is speaking clearly: “Salaries are too low!”
The market is speaking clearly: “Salaries are too low!”

Technically, the market here is these negotiations between the faculty union and the administration. This is where the market price is being decided.
A principle UA and Admin can agree on: Salaries should be “competitive”
But what does “competitive” mean?
But what does “competitive” mean?

Comparable to offerings by competitors
This just gives us more words to define!

Comparable
• Do we have to match the top?
• Do we only have to beat the bottom?
• What about the distribution?

Competitors
• Who do we “compete” with for faculty?
• Local? Regional? National? International?
To craft this proposal, we made choices consistent with past Admin choices and rhetoric.
Average UO salaries should equal the average at AAU Publics

Arguably, they should be “a bit” higher than average to be “competitive”, but let’s start there and see how it goes.
For example, we pay our President a base salary very close to the AAU average.
How are we doing for faculty?
The gap between UO and our AAU public peers is widening.
What will it take to fix it?
The contract raises run from 2025-2027, so we must project the path of both averages

UO assumptions
• Data: Average salary in 2022 is $120,600*
• 2% ATB raise in January 2023
• 3% merit raise in January 2024

• Result: Average salary in 2024 is $126,702

AAU Public assumptions
• Data: Average salary in 2022 is $140,900*
• Average growth rate from 2014 to 2022 is 3.32%
  • Annualized growth from $108,500

• Result: Average salary in 2027 is $165,895

* For these calculations, we’re using the "All Ranks Weighted" statistic reported by Institutional Research, which differs from true salaries slightly
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This is a 30.9% gap to fill over 3 years.
Our proposal fills that gap with 9.4% raise “budgets” in each year of the contract

For math aficionados, $1.094^3 = 1.309$
There are additional problems to address
First, there are significant differences in competitiveness across departments.

Average UO salaries as a fraction of average AAU public salaries, selected departments, 2022-2023
There is no evidence that this is related to performance differences

• We looked for links between these gaps and observable performance indicators
  • Internal “operational metrics” compiled by IR
  • External assessment of research-doctorate programs compiled by the National Academies

• We found no connection between these gaps and any observable characteristics

• In other words, these gaps appear to be random and arbitrary, not based on performance
Second, the gap differs by rank in different departments/divisions.

Average UO salaries as a fraction of average AAU public salaries, by rank, 2022-2023, CAS Humanities.
We allocate some resources to these external equity issues in Y2 of the contract.
We also allocate some resources to fixing outstanding internal equity issues
e.g. compression and inversion
We propose an increase in the minimum promotion raise from 8% to 10%

• Contract uses phase “at least” when discussing promotion and review raises – therefore raises are minimums

• Intent was that some individuals would receive higher raises

• UA understanding is that raises have been uniform and everyone has received the minimum

• This has a negative impact on competitiveness and morale
The recent merit experience illustrates that merit raise pools should be larger to be meaningful.
Putting that all together, here’s how the budget is allocated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Across-the-board</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal equity</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External equity</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merit</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How much does this proposal cost?
To estimate costs, we need to make some additional assumptions

• 735 TTF, 392 Career faculty. Average base salary is $106,698
  • Data from UO’s 2022-2023 submission to the AAUDE
  • Note: not all career faculty have 1 FTE appointments.
• Total 2022-2023 wage bill is approximately $116.6 million
• Assume OPE rate of 51.9%
  • From https://brp.uoregon.edu/content/Blended-OPE
• Total FY 2024 cost of faculty: $186.2 million (approximately)
This proposal increases faculty costs by $57.6 million by the end of the contract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wage bill</td>
<td>$122.5</td>
<td>$134.1</td>
<td>$146.7</td>
<td>$160.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPE</td>
<td>$63.6</td>
<td>$69.6</td>
<td>$76.1</td>
<td>$83.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$186.2</td>
<td>$203.7</td>
<td>$222.8</td>
<td>$243.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase from 2024</td>
<td>$17.5</td>
<td>$36.6</td>
<td>$57.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All numbers are millions.
Can the university afford this proposal?
Here, we run into a classic communication problem

• UA does not want to sign a contract that bankrupts the University

• Knowing this, the Administration can *always* claim that *any* proposal will bankrupt the University

• Therefore, any claims made by the Administration about the budget must be viewed skeptically

• Yet, financial constraints exist and are real! How can we agree?
We break this impasse by independently considering the University’s revenue and expenses.
Since “independence,” UO has spent just over 30% of E&G revenue on faculty compensation.

Fraction of E&G revenue spent on faculty salaries and OPE

Notes: E&G revenue from https://ba.uoregon.edu/finance-and-accounting/financial-reports
Faculty salaries from https://ir.uoregon.edu/salary_comparison
Assumes 350 Career faculty with salary changes proportional to TTF changes. Assumes 51% OPE.
We project that the fraction will decline into FY 2024

Notes: E&G revenue from University projections for 2023. For 2024, assumes 3.30% growth rate (average increase from 2016-2023). Faculty compensation bill includes contractual raises and assumes constant FTE.
Our proposal would result in reasonable costs

Fraction of E&G revenue spent on faculty salaries and OPE

Notes: E&G revenue assumes 3.30% growth rate (average increase from 2016-2023). Faculty compensation bill includes proposed raises and assumes constant FTE.
This proposal would also bring our spending more in line with our AAU-Public peers.
We currently spend less of our core revenue on teaching, research, and service than our AAU-Public peers.

Source: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. Penn State and University of Pittsburgh excluded due to non-GASB reporting practice. “Core” revenue defined as total revenue minus auxiliary and hospital revenues.
What about benefits?
Our retirement benefits are close to average within the AAU Publics

**Defined Contribution plan**
- Oregon’s ORP includes 8% employer contribution with 0% employee contribution
- Total compensation for $100k salary is $108k
- All AAU-Publics except UW-Madison offer similar plan
- Average total compensation: $108.6k

**Conclusion**: UO plan is just below average, but offers additional option

**Defined Benefit plan**
- Oregon OPSRP employee contribution: 6%
- Average AAU-Public employee contribution: 7.08%
- Oregon employees accrue 1.5% increase in payments per year
- Average AAU-Public accrual is 2.0% per year

**Conclusion**: UO plan requires lower contributions, but offers lower benefits
Our retirement benefits are close to average within the AAU Publics
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Our health benefits are slightly cheaper, but lower quality

**Cost**
- Lower copays/deductibles
- Lower premiums

**Quality**
- No teaching/research hospital here
  - No hospital in Eugene at all
- Fewer tertiary care options
Summarizing United Academics’ Article 26 proposal

• We are asking to be paid the **average of our peers**

• We can get there with pools of **9.4% in each of the contract years**

• We allocate some resources to a **merit pool** and some to work toward **fixing long-standing internal and external equity issues**

• The University can afford this proposal **without breaking the bank**