1	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (2/29/2024)
2	UNITED ACADEMICS PROPOSAL (2/15/2024)
3	
4	Document Key
5 6	UA new UA deletion UO new UO deletion Accepted Deleted Status Quo Restored
7	ARTICLE 19. CAREER FACULTY REVIEW AND PROMOTION
8	Proomble Canon appointments are either Canon instructional or Canon reasonab for the
9 10	Preamble. Career appointments are either Career instructional or Career research for the purposes of this Article.
10 11	purposes of this Africa.
12	Career instructional faculty are those with appointments in the Career classification in the
13	following categories: Instructor, Lecturer, Librarian, Clinical Professor (when an instructional
14	appointment), Professor of Practice, and Teaching Professor.
15	······································
16	Career research faculty are those with appointments in the Career classification in the following
17	categories: Research Professor, Clinical Professor (when a research appointment), Research
18	Assistant, Research Associate, Research Scientist, Research Engineer, and Principal Research
19	Scientist.
20	
21	Career Faculty Review and Promotion
22	
23	Section 1. Reviews for Career faculty will include reviews associated with performance,
24	promotion, and continuous employment. A performance review will not be required in the year a
25	bargaining unit faculty member has a promotion or continuous employment review.
26	Section 2 Delicies and Dreadyness Each department or whit that amplays Corpor faculty will
27 28	Section 2. Policies and Procedures. Each department or unit that employs Career faculty will maintain unit-level policies for Career faculty review and promotion in accordance with Article
28 29	4.
30	т.
31	
32	Career Faculty Performance Reviews
33	
34	Section 3. Performance Reviews. Performance reviews for Career faculty are for the purpose of
35	determining if the faculty member is meeting the standard of excellence appropriate to a Career
36	instructional or Career research faculty member at an AAU institution based on their job duties.
37	Performance reviews should be designed to help Career faculty members grow as educators,
38	scholars, and researchers, as appropriate; identify areas of strength; and identify areas that need
39	improvement associated with their position. Career performance reviews should include a stage-
40	appropriate assessment of the likelihood of success in a subsequent promotion review.
41	Bargaining unit faculty members who have not received from the University the material support
42	and services to perform their professional responsibilities shall be held harmless in performance
43	review and promotion processes.
44	Casting A Darforman a Darian T' a' a
45	Section 4. Performance Review Timing.
46	a Carpor instructional faculty will have a performance review and your for the first three
47	a. Career instructional faculty will have a performance review each year for the first three

- years of their employment and at least once every three years thereafter (academic years 1 for 9-month appointments and fiscal years for 12-month appointments). The three-year 2 schedule is reset after a successful promotion or continuous employment review. 3 4 5 b. Career research faculty will have a performance review annually, which will also serve as the basis for distributions if a merit pool is agreed to in Article 26. 6 7 8 c. Performance reviews may take place out of cycle when a department or unit head has identified or become aware of performance problems. The department or unit head shall 9 meet with the Career faculty member to discuss areas of concern and evaluate whether a 10 11 formal out-of-cycle performance review or performance improvement plan (Section 6.e) is warranted. Nothing in this Article changes the process for addressing poor performance 12 for funding-contingent faculty set forth in Article 16, Section 18. 13 14 15 Section 5. Performance Review Period and Criteria. Reviews will consider the Career bargaining unit faculty member's performance since their last review. Career faculty members 16 will be evaluated only by the criteria approved and made available to them. If the criteria have 17 changed since their previous review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current 18 set of criteria. 19 20 21 Section 6. Performance Review Process. 22 a. As part of each performance review, a Career faculty member will have an opportunity to 23 24 submit a brief personal statement (no more than three pages) containing information relevant to their performance of assigned duties and responsibilities. 25 26 b. The review process will include an opportunity for the Career faculty member to discuss 27 their efforts, performance, and goals or improvement opportunities with an appropriate 28 supervisor, department, or unit head at least once during each review period. 29 30 c. Performance reviews must include a determination if the Career faculty member meets, 31 32 exceeds, or does not meet expectations in each of their assigned duties. 33 d. The supervisor, department, or unit head will summarize, in writing, any committee or 34 peer review along with their own assessment and will communicate the results of the 35 36 review and provide a copy of their summary in writing to the bargaining unit faculty member. The faculty member will have 10 days from the date of the receipt of the report 37
- e. If the determination of the performance review is that the Career faculty member does not meet expectations in one or more of their assigned duties, the supervisor, department, or unit head will meet with the faculty member to discuss a performance improvement plan, which will include written documentation of the areas for improvement, instructions to meet expectations in those areas, a timeline to carry out those instructions, and an explicit timeframe for assessing progress. The performance improvement plan will be signed by the supervisor, faculty member, and vice president, vice provost, dean, or director.

38

to provide a response, which shall be appended to the completed performance review.

1 2 If that follow-up progress assessment, which may be a performance review, determines that the Career faculty member still does not meet expectations in one or more of the 3 4 assigned duties previously identified as areas of concern, that Career faculty member may be subject to layoff (Article 16, Section 12.a.). 5 6 7 8 **Career Promotion Reviews** 9 10 **General Career Promotion Review Considerations** 11 Section 7. Accelerated Review. An accelerated promotion review may occur in particularly 12 meritorious cases as determined by the Office of the Provost in consultation with the appropriate 13 14 vice president, vice provost, dean, department or unit head, and the bargaining unit faculty member. 15 16 17 Section 8. Credit for Prior Service. When credit for prior service is agreed upon, the terms of hire or reclassification will state the number of years of credit granted for comparable work, 18 specific review considerations, and the earliest date for promotion eligibility. Bargaining unit 19 faculty members reclassified from Pro Tem to Career positions will receive credit towards 20 promotion for the number of years employed as Pro Tem faculty. 21 22 23 Section 9. Reapplication for Promotion. An unsuccessful candidate for promotion may 24 continue employment at their current rank as long as eligible to do so under this Agreement. Career faculty bargaining unit members who are denied promotion may reapply for promotion 25 after having been employed by the university for an additional three years or longer at an average 26 27 of 0.5 annualized FTE per year, accrued at no greater than three terms per academic year for bargaining unit faculty on 9-month appointments, and at four terms per year for bargaining unit 28 29 faculty on 12-month appointments. 30 Section 10. Appeal of Promotion Denial. Faculty who are denied promotion may appeal the 31 32 decision through the procedures in Article 21, Tenure and Promotion Denial Appeal. 33 Section 11. Withdrawal of Application. A candidate may withdraw an application for 34 35 promotion in writing to the Provost and the dean at any time before the Provost's decision. 36 37 38 **Career Instructional Promotion Reviews** 39 Section 12. Eligibility for Promotion. Career instructional faculty may elect to initiate the 40 promotion process when eligible to do so. Career faculty members will be eligible for promotion 41 after accumulating six years of employment less any credit for prior service granted (Section 8) 42 as a faculty member at or above an average of 0.5 annualized FTE over the six years, accrued at 43 44 no greater than three terms per academic year for bargaining unit faculty with 9-month appointments, and at four terms per year for bargaining unit faculty with 12-month 45 appointments. The six years of employment do not have to be consecutive. 46

1				
1 2	a.	Career instructional faculty members who will have completed five years of employment		
2	a.	as a Career faculty member at or above 0.5 annualized FTE per year may initiate the		
4		promotion process in the Spring term of the fifth year if they have an expected		
5		appointment of 0.5 annualized FTE or greater for the sixth year.		
6		appointment of 0.5 annualized 1 112 of greater for the sixth year.		
7	h	Career instructional faculty members who have completed more than five years of		
8	0.	employment as a Career faculty member at or above 0.5 annualized FTE per year may		
9		initiate the promotion process in the Spring term of any year.		
10		induce the promotion process in the opting torm of they year.		
11	с.	Cases involving positions or terms of service below 0.5 FTE may be considered for		
12	υ.	promotion by the Office of the Provost in accordance with the principles set forth in this		
12		Article.		
13 14		Anticle.		
14 15	b	Career instructional faculty members who have achieved promotion must wait at least		
16	u.	five six years before initiating the promotion process again (for a promotion review in the		
10		subsequent year), regardless of the number of accumulated years of employment.		
18		subsequent year), regardless of the number of accumulated years of employment.		
18 19	Sectio	n 13. Review Period and Election of Criteria. The promotion review period for a Career		
20		ctional bargaining unit faculty member will be the time in their current classification and		
20		with emphasis on the six most recent years.		
21	Talik, V	with emphasis on the six most recent years.		
22	The h	argaining unit faculty member will be reviewed for promotion relative to the criteria in		
23 24	effect when the review period began. If the criteria have changed since their hire or previous			
24 25		<i>y</i> , the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.		
26	IEVIEW	, the faculty member must choose entier the earner of current set of criteria.		
20 27	Soctio	n 14. Initiating the Promotion Process. Career instructional faculty wishing to be		
27		lered for promotion should notify the appropriate department or unit head in the Spring		
28 29		rior to the year when promotion is sought, and must provide the following materials by		
29 30		the review year:		
30 31	1 all 01	the review year.		
32	•	Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the		
32 33	•	bargaining unit faculty member's current teaching, professional development, research,		
33 34		scholarly, and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications,		
34 35		appointments, presentations, and similar activities and accomplishments as applicable.		
35 36		appointments, presentations, and similar activities and accomprisiments as applicable.		
30 37	•	Personal statement: A 2–6-page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit		
38	•	faculty member evaluating their performance measured against the applicable criteria for		
38 39		promotion. The personal statement should expressly address the subjects of teaching;		
39 40		scholarship, research and creative activity, as applicable; and service contributions to the		
40 41		academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the		
41 42		community. The statement should also include discussion of contributions to diversity,		
42 43		equity, and inclusion.		
45 44		equity, and metasion.		
44 45	•	Teaching portfolio (if applicable): Representative examples of course syllabi or		
43 46	•	equivalent descriptions of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught		
+0		equivalent descriptions of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught		

by the bargaining unit faculty member, examples of student work and exams, and similar material; information from student experience surveys, which will be considered in light of the response rate; information on the development of new courses and curriculum development; information on contributions to university-wide teaching practices (if applicable).

- Scholarship portfolio (if applicable): A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research, and creative activity; and appropriate evidence of national or international recognition or impact.
 - Service portfolio (if applicable): Evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community, such as op ed pieces, white papers authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, or letters of appreciation. The service portfolio may also include a short narrative elaborating on the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations.
 - **Professional development statement (if applicable):** A statement that provides a short narrative elaborating on the professional development activities of the bargaining unit faculty member related to their job duties.
 - **Professional activities portfolio (if applicable):** A comprehensive portfolio of professional or consulting activities related to their discipline.
 - **Internal and/or external reviewers (if applicable):** A list of qualified internal and/or external reviewers provided by the bargaining unit faculty member.

Section 15. Waiver of Access to Materials. Bargaining unit faculty members may choose to waive in advance in writing their access to see any or all of the evaluative materials. Such waivers, however, shall not preclude the use of redacted versions of these documents in a denial review process. The redacted versions are intended to protect the identity of the reviewer. If redactions are insufficient to do so, the University may prepare a suitable summary. A waiver will be included in the promotion file.

34

1

2

3 4

5 6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17 18

19

20 21 22

23 24 25

26 27

Section 16. Notice of Meetings. A bargaining unit faculty member will receive at least three business days' notice of any meeting or hearing which the member is invited or required to attend, with a dean, vice provost, or the Office of the Provost regarding recommendations or decisions on promotion. The bargaining unit faculty member may have a colleague or Union representative present at the meeting as an observer.

40

41 Section 17. Evaluation file. The promotion review file should generally include the following
 42 information:

43 44

45

46

- Statement of duties and responsibilities
- Curriculum vitae
 - Conditions of appointment

1	Criteria for promotion			
2	Personal statement			
3	• Supervisors' letters of evaluation			
4	• Professional activities portfolio (if applicable)			
5	• Teaching portfolio (if applicable)			
6	• Scholarship portfolio (if applicable)			
7	• Service portfolio (if applicable)			
8	• Professional development statement (if applicable)			
9	• Internal and/or external reviews (if applicable)			
10	• Department or unit committee recommendation			
11	• Department, unit, center, or institute head's recommendation (if applicable)			
12	• Vice president's, dean's, or director's recommendation			
13	• Waiver of access to materials (if applicable)			
14				
15	Section 18. Review by Department or Unit. The department or unit head or designee should			
16	solicit any internal and/or external reviews, as applicable. A department or unit committee will			
17	review the file and make a recommendation to the department or unit head. The department or			
18	unit head will then prepare an explanation of the merits of the promotion case and a			
19	recommendation on the case. The report will include the department or unit-level promotion			
20	committee report and recommendation and a voting summary and the department or unit head's			

committee report and recommendation and a voting summary, and the department or unit head's 20

own independent recommendation. The file will then be sent to the appropriate vice president, 21 vice provost, dean, or director for review. 22

23

Section 19. Review by Vice President, Vice Provost, Dean, or Director. The vice president, 24

25 vice provost, dean, or director, as appropriate, will review the file, and may consult with

appropriate persons and may ask for and document additional non-confidential information. 26

27 Once the vice president, vice provost, Provost, dean, or director deems the file complete, they

28 will prepare a separate memorandum and recommendation.

29

30 The vice president, vice provost, Provost, dean, or director will share their memorandum and

recommendation with the candidate and notify the candidate that they may provide responsive 31

32 material for the file within 10 days from the date of receipt of the memorandum; this response

shall be included in the evaluation file. If the assessment of the dean or vice provost above 33

differs from that of the department or unit head or the school- or college-level personnel 34

35 committee, they will provide an explanation of the reasons underlying their judgment. The vice

president, vice provost, dean, or director then will submit the complete evaluation file to the 36

- Office of the Provost. 37
- 38

39 Section 20. Review by the Office of the Provost. By June 1, the Office of the Provost will review the file and decide whether to grant or deny promotion. The candidate will be notified of 40

the decision in writing. If the Office of the Provost decides the review is unsuccessful, the 41

decision letter will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying their decision. In the case of 42

- a decision to deny promotion that is contrary to a recommendation of a vice president, vice 43
- 44 provost, dean, or director, the decision letter of the Office of the Provost shall include a detailed
- explanation of reasons for rejecting the recommendation, which shall identify any assessments of 45
- 46 merit and representations of fact contained in the recommendation with which the Office of the

1 **Provost disagrees.**

2

Section 21. Assumption of New Rank. Successful candidates for promotion will assume their
 new rank beginning with the next academic or fiscal year or the nearest next term of employment
 should their appointment not begin with fall term.

6 7

8 Career Research Promotion Reviews 9

Section 22. Eligibility for Promotion. Career research faculty may elect to initiate the promotion process when eligible to do so. Career faculty members will be eligible for promotion after accumulating six years of employment as a Career faculty member less any credit for prior service granted (Section 8) at or above an average of 0.5 annualized FTE over six years. The six years of employment do not have to be consecutive.

- 15
- Career research faculty members who have achieved promotion must wait at least five six-years
 before initiating the promotion process again (for a promotion review in the subsequent year),
- regardless of the number of accumulated years of employment.

Section 23. Review Period and Election of Criteria. The promotion review period for a Career research bargaining unit faculty member will be the time in their current classification and rank.

The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed for promotion relative to the criteria in effect when the review period began. If the criteria have changed since their hire or previous review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.

Section 24. Initiating the Promotion Process for Career Research Faculty. Candidates
 wishing to be considered for promotion should notify the appropriate department or unit head in
 the Spring term prior to the year when promotion is sought, and must provide the following
 materials by Fall of the review year:

- Curriculum vitae or resume: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae or resume that includes the bargaining unit faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar activities and accomplishments, as applicable.
 - **Personal statement:** A 2-6-page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit faculty member evaluating their performance measured against the applicable criteria for promotion. The personal statement should expressly address their impact and contribution to research excellence relative to their job duties. This statement should also include discussion of contributions to diversity, equity, and inclusion.
- Scholarship portfolio (if applicable): A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship,
 research, and creative activity; and appropriate evidence of national or international
 recognition or impact.
- 46

31

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

1 2 3 4	• Service portfolio (if applicable): Evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the community, such as op ed pieces, white papers authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, or letters of appreciation.
5 6 7	The portfolio may also include a short narrative elaborating on the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations.
8	• Professional activities portfolio (if applicable): A comprehensive portfolio of
9	professional or consulting activities related to their discipline.
10	
11	• List of reviewers (if applicable): A list of qualified internal and/or external reviewers
12	provided by the bargaining unit faculty member. Normally, external reviews are not
13	expected for those in the research assistant ranks.
14	
15	Section 25. Waiver of Access to Materials. Bargaining unit faculty members may choose to
16	waive in advance in writing their access to see any or all of the evaluative materials. Such
17	waivers, however, shall not preclude the use of redacted versions of these documents in a denial
18	review process. The redacted versions are intended to protect the identity of the reviewer. If
19	redactions are insufficient to do so, the University may prepare a suitable summary. A waiver
20	will be included in the promotion file.
21	
22	Section 26. Notice of Meetings. A bargaining unit faculty member will receive at least three
23	business days' notice of any meeting or hearing which the member is invited or required to
24 25	attend, with a dean, vice provost, or the Office of the Provost regarding recommendations or decisions on promotion. The bargaining unit faculty member may have a colleague or Union
26 27	representative present at the meeting as an observer.
28 29	Section 27. Evaluation file. The promotion review file should generally include the following information:
30	
31	• Statement of duties and responsibilities
32	Curriculum vitae
33	Conditions of appointment
34	Criteria for promotion
35	• Personal statement
36	• Supervisors' letters of evaluation
37	• Professional activities portfolio (if applicable)
38	• Scholarship portfolio (if applicable)
39	• Service portfolio (if applicable)
40	• Internal and/or external reviews (if applicable)
41	• Department, unit, center, or institute head's recommendation
42	• Vice president's, dean's, or director's recommendation
43	• Waiver of access to materials (if applicable)
44	
45	Section 28. Review by Department Head or Unit Director or Manager. The department or

46 unit head or designee should solicit any internal and/or external reviews, as applicable. The

department or unit head will then review the file, including any internal or external reviews, and 1 prepare a recommendation and an explanation of the merits of the promotion case. The file will 2 then be sent to the appropriate vice president, vice provost, or dean for review. In the event that 3 4 the unit head is the faculty member's supervisor/director/manager, the supervisor letter of 5 evaluation and the unit head review may be combined into a single recommendation. 6 Section 29. Review by Vice President, Vice Provost, Dean, or Director. The vice president or 7 dean, as appropriate, will review the file, and may consult with appropriate persons and may ask 8 for and document additional non-confidential information. Once the vice president or dean 9 deems the file complete, they will prepare a separate memorandum with a recommendation. 10 11 If the vice president, vice provost, dean, or director's assessment differs from that of the 12 department or unit committee or the department or unit head, the memorandum and 13 recommendation will provide an explanation of the reasons underlying their judgment. 14 15 16 The vice president, vice provost, dean, or director will share their memorandum and 17 recommendation with the candidate and notify the candidate that they may provide responsive material for the file within 10 days from the date of receipt of the memorandum. This response 18 shall be included in the evaluation file. The vice president, vice provost, dean, or director then 19 20 will submit the complete evaluation file to the Office of the Provost. 21 22 Section 30. Review by the Office of the Provost. By June 1, the Office of the Provost will review the file, with appropriate input, and decide whether to grant or deny promotion. The 23 candidate will be notified of the decision in writing. If the Provost decides the review is 24 unsuccessful, the decision letter will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying their 25 26 decision. In the case of a decision to deny promotion that is contrary to a recommendation of a vice president, vice provost, dean, or director, the decision letter of the Office of the Provost 27 shall include a detailed explanation of reasons for rejecting the recommendation, which shall 28 identify any assessments of merit and representations of fact contained in the recommendation 29 with which the Office of the Provost disagrees. 30 31 32 Section 31. Assumption of New Rank. Successful candidates for promotion will assume their 33 new rank beginning with the fiscal year following notification of their promotion, or other date as approved, by the Office of the Provost, whichever comes first. 34 35 36 37 **Career Continuous Employment Reviews** 38 39 Section 32. Purpose. The primary function of Career Continuous Employment Reviews is to foster continued professional growth and reward excellence. Career Continuous Employment 40 Reviews are optional. 41 42 Section 33. Eligibility. To be eligible for a Career Continuous Employment Review, a 43 bargaining member must satisfy the following: 44 45 a. Must have a Career appointment in a single-rank category or at the highest rank in their category; and, 46

- 1 b. Must have an annualized 0.5 FTE or greater; and,
- c. Must have accumulated six years of service at 0.5 FTE or greater, as measured from their
 appointment in the single-rank category, since achieving promotion to the highest rank in
 their category, or since their most recent Career Continuous Employment Review.
- 5

6 Section 34. Process. To initiate the Career Continuous Employment Review, the eligible

- 7 bargaining unit faculty member must notify their department or unit head consistent with Section
- 8 14 or 24, as appropriate. Continuous employment reviews for Career faculty will generally
- 9 mirror the scope and process for Career instructional or Career research promotion reviews to the
- 10 highest rank. If the final 'Review by the Office of the Provost' (Section 20 or 30 equivalent) in a
- 11 Continuous Employment Review determines that the bargaining unit faculty member's
- 12 performance in all categories meets or exceeds expectations, the bargaining unit faculty member
- 13 will receive an increase to their base salary per Article 26.